



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/892, 902

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

18

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marie Yamnitzky (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Ann Muetting (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 10/18/00

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: Imaged substrates with and without salt and surfactant, and printed with dye-based or pigment-based inks.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed: Cousin et al. (4,554,181)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Limiting claim 22 to an "anionic" surfactant would overcome the Cousin rejection. Discussed other possibilities such as limiting to hydrophobic substrate for emphasizing difference between pigment-based ink imaged products vs. dye-based ink imaged products - Showing of improved results for an imaged product (imaged with pigment-based inks) would be useful for claims directed to a method using pigment-based inks or directed to imaged product (imaged with pigment).  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Marie R. Yamnitzky  
Examiner's Signature